To:					PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORI (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
				Date of mailing		
				(day/montn/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
• •	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/011840		International filing date (20.10.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24.10.2003		
		•	both national classification	and IPC		
Appl	L12/56, H04B7/26					
	icant					
	ERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY BREMEN GMBH					
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	ons relating to the fol	lowing items:		
		Basis of the op Priority Non-established Lack of unity of	oinion nent of opinion with reg f invention	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; ci	nent of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation	ard to novelty, invens.1(a)(i) with regard t	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cir Certain docum	nent of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation ents cited	ard to novelty, invens.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cir Certain docum Certain defects	nent of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation ents cited s in the international app	ard to novelty, invens.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cir Certain docum Certain defects	nent of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation ents cited	ard to novelty, invens.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; cir Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ	nent of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation ents cited s in the international app	ard to novelty, invens.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION of the applicant check	Basis of the operation of the International prelational prelations and Authorite and United States	ment of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43bis tations and explanation ents cited s in the international app ations on the internation iminary examination is al Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to	ard to novelty, invents.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such stable of the lication made, this opinion was a be the IPEA and the lication of	to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
1.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for invritten opinion on the applicant che international Burwill not be so confitting to the IPE	Basis of the operation of the International prelations an Authorite au under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International prelations an Authorite au under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International prelations an Authorite au under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International prelations and Authorite au under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International prelations and International p	ment of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43bit tations and explanation ents cited s in the international app ations on the internation iminary examination is al Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written of ove, considered to be a by together, where appro-	ard to novelty, invents.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such standard application and application with the printer opinion of the opriate, with amendments.	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where se chosen IPEA has notifed the	
1.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. IV Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for invritten opinion on the applicant che international Burnational Burnational Burnational Burnation of the so continued by the solution of the international Burnation of the international Burnation of the international Burnation of the internation of the	Basis of the operation of the International prelations an Authorite au under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International prelations an Authorite au under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International prelations an Authorite au under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International prelations and Authorite au under Rule insidered. Basis of the operation of the International prelations and International p	ment of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43bit tations and explanation ents cited s in the international app ations on the internation iminary examination is al Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written of eve, considered to be a by together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	ard to novelty, invents.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such standard application and application with the printer opinion of the opriate, with amendments.	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority. The IPEA, the applicant is invited to nents, before the expiration of three	
1.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for it written opinion of the applicant che international Burwill not be so collisted in the international Burwill	Basis of the operation of the International prelations an Authorite au under Rule insidered. As provided above the Internation of the International prelations and the Internation of t	ment of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43bit tations and explanation ents cited s in the international app ations on the internation iminary examination is al Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written of eve, considered to be a by together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 or	ard to novelty, invents.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such standard application and application with the printer opinion of the opriate, with amendments.	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority. The IPEA, the applicant is invited to nents, before the expiration of three	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Lombardi, G

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7633



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011840

	Box No. i Basis of the	ne opinion			
	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:				
	☐ a sequence list	in g			
	□ table(s) related	to the sequence listing			
	b. format of material:				
	☐ in written forma	t			
	☐ in computer rea	idable form			
;	c. time of filing/furnishing:				
	□ contained in the	e international application as filed.			
	☐ filed together w	ith the international application in computer readable form.			
	☐ furnished subse	equently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3. l	has been filed or fu	ase that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereform in the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional to that in the application as filed, as			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to nevelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-17

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Concerning section I, Basis of the report

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US-A1-2002/0041584 D2: US-A-6400698 B1

Concerning Section V. Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

Document **D1** discloses, regarding **claim 1**, a communication network comprising a plurality of transmitting stations and receiving stations for transmitting and receiving signals, said transmitting stations being adapted for transmitting a data signal as a series of data packets, wherein a data packet is scheduled to be transmitted by use of an available transmission resource (see D1, page 1, left-hand column, lines 1 to 60 and page 3, left-hand column, lines 1 to 18), and said receiving stations being adapted for transmitting a reservation indicator for reception by transmitting stations, wherein a reservation indicator transmitted by a receiving station carries: a first reservation indicator value to indicate that a data transmission resource has been reserved by said receiving station for reception of the next data packet of a data signal from a transmitting station transmitting said data signal (see D1, page 1, left-hand column, line 23 to page 1, right-hand column, line 15; page 5, left-hand column, lines 35 to 44) **or**

a second reservation indicator value to indicate that a data transmission resource has not been reserved by said receiving station for reception of the next data packet from said transmitting station or that the last data packet has not been received with acceptable interference form said transmitting station (see D1, page 1, right-hand column, line 39 to page 2, left-hand column, line 15; page 3, right-hand column, lines 8 to 16; page 4, left-hand column, lines 24 to 62).

The difference between D1 and claim 1 consists in that in claim 1 there is provided the additional feature that when a transmitting station receives a reservation indicator carrying a first reservation indicator value transmitted from a receiving station to which no data signal has been transmitted by said transmitting station, said transmitting station will not transmit a data packet by use of the reserved transmission resource.

It would be immediately apparent to the skilled person that the method described in D1 has the drawback that, although it prevents a transmitting station intending to communicate with a mobile station to transmit using a transmission resource wherein interference has occurred, it does not prevent other transmitting stations to start transmission using said transmission resource in the case said transmission resource was available to the first transmitting station, thus causing another source of possible interference.

Therefore, the technical problem is considered as how to reduce the interference occurring between transmissions performed by different transmitting stations re-using the same transmission resources.

In consulting the prior art in the general field of mobile communication devices, the skilled person, wishing to find a solution to overcome the above mentioned technical problem, would come across document D2 (see in particular D2, column 2, lines 32 to 46; column 3, lines 22 to 52; column 6, lines 45 to 67 and figure 3) which discloses the principle, in a mobile communication system, of transmitting a set of indicator values indicating to all the transmitting stations which are able to receive them, that a shared transmission resource is not available for transmission for said stations.

For the skilled person, therefore, starting from the method described in document D1 and being aware both of the above technical problem and of the **principle** of the solution described in D2, it would be obvious to apply said principle to the method of document D1, in order to arrive at a method wherein the above technical problem has been overcome.

- men in cell

The subject-matter of claim 1, therefore, does not involve the required inventive step according to Article 33(3) PCT.

- Furthermore the same considerations as made in paragraph 1 above regarding claim 1 are in essence also valid for **independent claims 15, 16 and 17** since **claims 15, 16 and 17** are based on the same feature combination as claim 1 in terms of claims relating to a method and to apparatuses, respectively.
 - The subject-matter of claims 15, 16 and 17 therefore does not involve the required inventive step according to Article 33(3) PCT.
- Dependent claims 2 to 14 do not contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step, for the reasons that the subject-matter of said claims is either disclosed in documents D1 or D2 (for claim 2: see D1, page 3, right column, lines 47 to 63 and claim 1; for claim 4: see D2, column 3, line 22 to column 4, line 28 and column 5, lines 40 to 67; for claim 6: see D1, page 2, left column, lines 8 to 15; for claims 10 and 11: see D1, claim 1 and figure 1) or represents simple design details which are generally known to the person skilled in the field of mobile telecommunication devices and related resources management techniques.
- 4 Remarks concerning formal defects of the international application:
 - Contrary to the requirements of Rule 6.2 b) PCT the features cited in the independent claims are not provided with **reference signs** in parentheses to increase the intelligibility of the claims.
 - the independent claim 1 refers to "a reservation indicator" on line 8 and than on line 10 it is stated "wherein a reservation indicator...carries"; it should be unambiguously clarified that said "a reservation indicator" on line 10 is the **same** reservation indicator of line 8 (thus stating "wherein **said** reservation indicator...carries"), as it is already done in the other independent claims.